

Routt County Climate Action Collaborative Fund Grant Cycle - 2026 Scoring Rubric				
Score 4 (Excellent)	Score 3 (Above Average)	Score 2 (Average)	Score 1 (Below Average)	Score 0 (Unsatisfactory)
Question 18: Anticipated Outputs - Direct and indirect carbon emissions reductions * Weight X 2				
Clear articulation and evidence of measurable direct emission reductions that can be sustained over the long-term. Or high potential to catalyze other complimentary projects or initiatives that lead to emission reductions.	High likelihood of direct or indirect emission reductions over the long-term with some evidence to back claims.	Project details some understanding of potential carbon emission reduction, but not backed with evidence.	Difficulty quantifying or demonstrating potential to reduce direct or indirect carbon emissions.	Does not explain how project will lead to direct or indirect emission reductions.
Question 19: Co-Benefits				
Important co-benefits clearly identified that can be realized in the near-term and will result in benefits to multiple community members.	Co-benefits identified that can be realized over time.	Co-benefits listed, but not a clear articulation of how those will be realized and which members of the community will benefit.	Few co-benefits identified over the long-term.	No identified co-benefits.
Question 20: Partnerships/Collaboration				
Clearly identified, active partnerships that maximize project implementation and sustainability as well as community benefits. Letters of support included.	Clearly identified partnerships that will have an indirect role in the project. Letters of support included.	Partners identified and potential for complementary action explained, but no confirmation of partnership or letters of support included.	Tentative partnerships identified, but no demonstrated long-term relationship or letters of support.	No partnership or collaborators identified.
Question 21: Replicability and Scalability				
Project has strong potential and a plan for significant replicability and scalability.	Clear articulation of the opportunities for replication and scalability but without a documented plan.	Potential for replicability and scalability, but no clear identification of opportunities or a clear plan for achieving that goal.	Low potential for replicability and scalability and no plan to support this effort.	Barriers too high to be replicated and scaled and/or no stated plan.
Question 22: Community Connection - Greater public education, engagement and awareness				
Clear plan with multiple means (e.g. newsletter, social media, press releases, planned events) and staff support to communicate successes with the public.	Broad communication plan included that will reach multiple members of the public.	Limited communication plan details, but staff capacity to implement.	Communication plan mentioned, but no details given.	No mention of a communication plan or intention to increase public education.

Question 23: CAP Strategy Alignment - Project supports implementation of the CAP

<p>Project clearly explains how it advances at least one identified CAP Strategy.</p>	<p>Project directly relates to an identified CAP Strategy but less clearly explains how it will advance it.</p>	<p>General understanding of CAP strategies but unclear about project's alignment with the CAP.</p>	<p>Vague understanding of CAP strategies and little articulation of how the project advances CAP strategies.</p>	<p>No alignment with CAP strategies.</p>
---	---	--	--	--