Wildlife Habitat Improvement Local District (WHILD) Scorecard				
5 (highest)	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1 (lowest)**
Public Benefit & Benefits to Wildlife (Questions 6 & 8)				
Application identifies one or more specific wildlife species and explains a meaningful public benefit of improving its habitat. There is compelling evidence that the project is likely to improve habitat for that species.	One or more species are specified, but a public benefit may not be clearly stated and/or the application may lack evidence that the project will provide improvements.	Application may identify species but lacks a stated public benefit or evidence that the project will improve habitat.	Target species may be vague or unspecified and it lacks a stated public benefit and/or evidence project will improve habitat.	There is not a clearly stated link between the project and benefits to local wildlife.
Existing Need or Threat (Question 7)				
Application clearly states a compelling wildlife habitat need or existing threat to wildlife habitat in Routt County that warrants a study or project. It includes specific species, habitats, and pressures supported by data or expertise.	Application presents a clear wildlife habitat need or threat, but may be lacking supporting evidence or local specificity.	Application mentions a wildlife need/threat, but may lack supporting evidence or local specificity.	Provides minimal evidence of an existing need or threat to wildlife habitat.	No stated wildlife habitat need or threat.
Ability to Implement (Question 7)				
Application presents a clear and compelling plan with: a timeline to complete the project over the next calendar year, an outline of responsibilities, and sufficient resources to complete the project.	Application presents a strong plan, but might be missing some detail regarding timeline, capacity, or resources.	Application presents a basic plan for implentation but lacks detail or clarity in more than one area.	Application provides a vague or incomplete implementation plan. The roles, timelines, and/or logistics for completion are unclear.	Application does not present a clear plan for implementation.
Projected Results (Question 8)				
The stated objectives and projected results would demonstrate a clear and significant impact for wildlife, habitat, and/or the community.	The stated objectives and projected results seem likely to demonstrate an impact for wildlife, habitat, and/or the community; however the significance of the impact may be unclear.	The stated objectives/projected results may be somewhat unclear or may not clearly demonstrate impact for wildlife, habitat, and/or the community.	The application may lack a stated objective. Projected results would not clearly demonstrate impact for wildlife, the habitat, or the community.	No projected results from the project.
Other Funding and Community Support (Question 9)				
The project/study has secured or reasonably anticipates funding/support from multiple sources, demonstrating community support and public benefit.	The project/study has secured or reasonably anticipates funding from at least one other agency, organization, or other funding source, indicating community support and public benefit.	The project/study's anticipated other funding may be minimal or seems unlikely to materialize. It appears the project would provide a public benefit.	The application may mention potential funding/support partners, but does not demonstrate effort in securing in-kind or partner funding.	The project has not sought support from other community partners. There is not a clear public benefit.